1	NOT FOR PUBLICATION	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	Adolfo Oros,	No. CV-18-00227-PHX-SRB(DMF)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER
11	V.	
12	Charles L. Ryan, et al.	
13	Respondents.	
14	Petitioner filed his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254	
15	on January 22, 2018 raising two grounds for relief: 1) ineffective assistance of counsel; and	
16	2) prosecutorial misconduct. Respondents filed a Limited Answer to Petitioner's Petition for	
17	Writ of Habeas Corpus on June 13, 2018. No reply was filed. On November 16, 2018, the	
18	Magistrate Judge issued her Report and Recommendation recommending that the petition be	
19	denied and dismissed with prejudice.	
20	In the Report and Recommendation the Magistrate Judge advised the parties that	
21	they had fourteen days from the date of service of a copy of the Report and Recommendation	
22	within which to file specific written objections with the Court. The time to file such	
23	objections has expired and no objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed.	
24	The Court finds itself in agreement with the Report and Recommendation of the	
25	Magistrate Judge.	
26	IT IS ORDERED adopting the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate	
27	Judge as the order of this Court.	
28	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and	

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus and

dismissing it with prejudice. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying any Certificate of Appealability and leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal because dismissal of the Petition is justified by a plain procedural bar and reasonable jurist would not find the procedural ruling debatable. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED directing the Clerk to enter judgment accordingly. Dated this 11th day of December, 2018. United States District Judge